

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/735,485	MUELLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sarah L. Kuhns	1761	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Sarah L. Kuhns.

(3) _____.

 (2) Joyce Serauskas.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 March 2005
Time: 4:30 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Left message for Stephen Scherrer inquiring as to whether or not an amendment had been filed in the case. Joyce Serauskas returned the call and left a message stating that the applicant had decided not to further pursue the application.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)